1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 NETFLIX, INC., Case No. C06-2361 WHA (JCS) 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S 9 OTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION v. OF UNREDACTED BOARD MINUTES 10 BLOCKBUSTER, INC., [Docket No. 176] 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 On April 5, 2007, Plaintiff submitted a letter brief which the Court has construed as 14 Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Unredacted Board Minutes [Docket No. 176] (the 15 "Motion"). 16 On April 6, 2007, the Court held telephonic hearing on the Motion. Daralyn Durie and Eugene Paige, counsel for Plaintiff, appeared. Marshall Grossman, counsel for Defendant, appeared. 17 18 For the reasons stated on the record, and good cause shown, 19 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT by April 16, 2007, Defendant shall submit, for in camera 20 review, redacted and unredacted Board Minutes for final decision by the Court. The Court will issue 21 a final ruling on the redaction before April 23, 2007. Defendant waived their right to appeal any 22 decision on the propriety of those redactions. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Dated: April 16, 2007 26 JØSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge 27 28